



# Characterization of the Complete Mitogenome of *Mikrogeophagus altispinosus* (Cichliformes: Cichlidae) and Phylogenetic Analysis of New World Cichlids

Ye-Ling Lao, Jin-Long Huang, Cheng-He Sun, Xiao-Ying Huang, Ting Wu and Qun Zhang\*

Department of Ecology and Institute of Hydrobiology, Jinan University, Guangzhou 510632, China

Ye-Ling Lao and Jin-Long Huang have contributed equally to this work.

## ABSTRACT

Following rapid advances in complete mitochondrial genome determination, researchers have published the mitogenomes of many New World cichlids. However, few phylogenetic analyses of New World cichlids have been conducted. In this study, we determined the complete mitogenome of *Mikrogeophagus altispinosus*. After sequencing with the Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform, SPAdes v3.10.1 was used for assembly, and MITOS2 and MitoFish were used for annotation. We then successfully annotated the mitogenome of *M. altispinosus*, which has a total length of 16,767 bp. The gene composition and base preferences of *M. altispinosus* are similar to those of other New World cichlids. Based on the concatenated sequences of 13 protein-coding genes and two rRNAs from the mitogenomes of 37 New World cichlids and one African cichlid, we reconstructed phylogenetic trees using maximum likelihood and Bayesian methods and verified the classification of the target species *M. altispinosus*. According to our analysis, further studies should focus on the relationships between *Amphilophus* and *Symphysodon*. By determining the complete mitogenome of *M. altispinosus*, this study improves the phylogenetic resolution of New World cichlids. This new resource is highly important for the classification of New World cichlids and provides valuable data for subsequent studies on Cichlidae evolution.

## Article Information

Received 13 February 2023  
Revised 27 February 2023  
Accepted 13 March 2023  
Available online 08 June 2023  
(early access)  
Published 07 October 2024

## Authors' Contribution

YL, JH, CS and QZ designed the study. XH and TW executed experimental work. YL and CS analyzed the data. YL and JH wrote the paper. QZ provided the laboratory equipment. QZ supervised the research.

## Key words

Mitochondrial genome, Cichlidae, Bolivian butterfly cichlid, Dwarf butterfly cichlid, mtDNA

## INTRODUCTION

The first complete mitochondrial genome reported in the literature was that of the most advanced population of vertebrates: human beings (Anderson *et al.*, 1981). Advances in molecular technology have subsequently enabled mitochondrial genome sequencing for diverse species, with data for new taxa regularly being published (Cooper *et al.*, 2001; Macaulay *et al.*, 2005). Fish are the most ancient group of vertebrates, characterized by substantial variation in developmental and evolutionary

diversification. In this regard, the phylogenetics and evolutionary development of fish are major areas of research. Most fish species can be identified according to their morphological characteristics. However, mitochondrial DNA analysis has also become an important tool for identifying fish species and populations and for further exploring the origin of fish taxa and hierarchical differentiation with respect to regional water patterns (Stepien and Kocher, 1997).

The Bolivian Butterfly Cichlid or Dwarf Butterfly Cichlid, *Mikrogeophagus altispinosus* (Haseman, 1911), belongs to the subfamily Geophaginae in the New World cichlid family Cichlidae, order Cichliformes. These aquarium fish, which have a mild temperament and are considered middle-bottom fish, are primarily distributed from Bolivia to northern Trinidad and Tobago (Haseman, 1911; Kullander, 2003; DoNascimento *et al.*, 2017; Staack *et al.*, 2022) and are characterized by striking red tails. *M. altispinosus* have important ecological and ornamental value. This species was first recorded as *Crenicara altispinosa* by Haseman (1911), then named *Mikrogeophagus altispinosa* and *Papiliochromis*

\* Corresponding author: tq\_zhang@yeah.net, tqzhang@jnu.edu.cn  
0030-9923/2024/0006-2893 \$ 9.00/00



Copyright 2024 by the authors. Licensee Zoological Society of Pakistan.

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

*altispinosus*, and is now designated *M. altispinosus* (Kullander, 2003; DoNascimento *et al.*, 2017; Staeck *et al.*, 2022).

The complete mitogenome sequences of many New World cichlids have already been published (Chen *et al.*, 2020; Nam and Rhee, 2022). However, the phylogenetic relationships of new world cichlids according to their mitogenomes remain unclear. In this study, we determined and characterized the complete mitogenome sequence of *M. altispinosus*. We then evaluated the genetic relationships between *M. altispinosus* and other New World cichlid species by constructing a molecular phylogenetic tree, which provides a basis for germplasm identification and phylogenetic analyses of the Cichlidae family.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### *Sample collection and ethics statement*

The experimental samples were collected from the ornamental fish base in Guangzhou, Guangdong Province, in January 2022 (23° 3' 57.33" N, 113° 11' 34.13" E). After morphological identification, the pectoral fins were removed, stored in 95% ethanol, transported to the laboratory, and stored in a refrigerator at -20 °C. All experiments were conducted in accordance with Chinese laws, and all experiments were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the Department of Ecology of Jinan University and conducted in compliance with relevant animal welfare and protection laws.

### *DNA extraction, detection, and sequencing*

Total DNA was extracted from 25 mg of *M. altispinosus* fin tissue samples using the Ezup Column Animal Genome DNA Extraction Kit produced by Shanghai Sangong Biological Engineering Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China), following the instructions provided. After separation by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis, the NanoDrop Lite ultramicro spectrophotometer (Thermo, USA) was used to detect the quality and concentration of total DNA. Wuhan Banner Technology Co., Ltd. constructed the library and performed sequencing using the Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform to obtain 2×150 bp reads. To ensure the accuracy of morphological identification, *COI* and *Cytb* were used for DNA barcoding.

### *Mitogenome assembly*

Trimmomatic v0.39 (Bolger *et al.*, 2014) was used for quality control, and SPAdes v3.10.1 (Bankevich *et al.*, 2012) was used for assembly. Sequences with sufficient coverage depth and long assembly lengths were selected as candidate sequences and compared with those in the NCBI taxonomy database to confirm mitochondrial

scaffold sequences. The matched clean reads were then assembled to obtain scaffolds. According to the paired-end reads and overlap relationship, gap closer was used to fill and optimize gaps in the newly assembled results. The parameters were set to default. The reference genome was used to correct the starting position and direction of mitochondrial assembly sequences, and the complete mitochondrial genome was obtained.

### *Mitogenome annotation and analyses*

MITOS2 and MitoFish (Iwasaki *et al.*, 2013) were used to predict protein-coding genes, tRNAs, and rRNAs in the complete mitogenome (Table I). The start and stop codon positions of genes were artificially corrected to obtain highly accurate conservative genomes. DNASTar software (Lasergene, DNASTar, Madison, WI, USA) was used to determine the total length of the mitogenome. MEGA7.0 (Kumar *et al.*, 1994) was used to analyze the base composition, proportion of each part of the genome, and start and end positions of each gene. The asymmetry of the base content of the complete mitogenome was evaluated by AT skew and GC skew (Perna and Kocher, 1995), which were calculated as follows: GC skew =  $(G-C)/(G+C)$  and AT skew =  $(A-T)/(A+T)$ .

### *Phylogenetic analysis*

In total, 38 complete mitogenomes were included in the phylogenetic analysis (Table II), which included the newly obtained mitogenome, 36 complete mitogenome sequences of New World cichlids published in GenBank, and one complete African cichlid mitogenome as an outgroup. As implemented in PhyloSuite v1.2.1 (Zhang *et al.*, 2020), based on 13 protein-coding genes and two rRNA genes, the maximum likelihood method and Bayesian inference method were used for tree construction using IQ-TREE v1.6.8 (Nguyen *et al.*, 2015) and MrBayes v3.2.6 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001), respectively. The best-fit partition model (Edge-linked) was selected using the Bayesian information criterion in ModelFinder. Branch support in the maximum likelihood tree was calculated by the self-expanding ultrafast bootstrapping method with 200,000 replicates. Bayesian inference analyses were run with 1,000,000 generations, where the initial 25% of sampled data were discarded as burn-in.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### *Mitogenome features*

The length of the mitogenome sequence of *M. altispinosus* was 16,767 bp, the base composition was 24.65% T, 30.92% C, 27.82% A, and 16.61% G, and the GC content was 47.53%, showing a distinct AT preference,

**Table I. Organization of genes in the *Mikrogeophagus altispinosus* mitogenome with anticodon, direction, position, and length. ‘+’ denotes forward direction and ‘-’ denotes reverse direction.**

| Name      | Start | Stop  | Strand | Length | Intergenic nucleotides | Start/stop Codons | Anticodon |
|-----------|-------|-------|--------|--------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| tRNA-Phe  | 1     | 69    | +      | 69     | 0                      | /                 | GAA       |
| 12S RNA   | 70    | 1020  | +      | 951    | 0                      | /                 | /         |
| tRNA-Val  | 1021  | 1092  | +      | 72     | 0                      | /                 | TAC       |
| 16S RNA   | 1093  | 2801  | +      | 1709   | 0                      | /                 | /         |
| tRNA-Leu2 | 2802  | 2875  | +      | 74     | 0                      | /                 | TAA       |
| ND1       | 2876  | 3850  | +      | 975    | 2                      | ATG/TAA           | /         |
| tRNA-Ile  | 3853  | 3922  | +      | 70     | -1                     | /                 | GAT       |
| tRNA-Gln  | 3922  | 3992  | -      | 71     | -1                     | /                 | TTG       |
| tRNA-Met  | 3992  | 4060  | +      | 69     | 0                      | /                 | CAT       |
| ND2       | 4061  | 5105  | +      | 1045   | 0                      | ATG/T             | /         |
| tRNA-Trp  | 5106  | 5177  | +      | 72     | 1                      | /                 | TCA       |
| tRNA-Ala  | 5179  | 5247  | -      | 69     | 1                      | /                 | TGC       |
| tRNA-Asn  | 5249  | 5321  | -      | 73     | 34                     | /                 | GTT       |
| tRNA-Cys  | 5356  | 5422  | -      | 67     | -1                     | /                 | GCA       |
| tRNA-Tyr  | 5422  | 5489  | -      | 68     | 1                      | /                 | GTA       |
| COI       | 5491  | 7050  | +      | 1560   | 27                     | GTG/TAA           | /         |
| tRNA-Ser2 | 7078  | 7149  | -      | 72     | 3                      | /                 | TGA       |
| tRNA-Asp  | 7153  | 7225  | +      | 73     | 5                      | /                 | GTC       |
| COII      | 7231  | 7921  | +      | 691    | 0                      | ATG/T             | /         |
| tRNA-Lys  | 7922  | 7994  | +      | 73     | 1                      | /                 | TTT       |
| ATP8      | 7996  | 8163  | +      | 168    | -10                    | ATG/TAA           | /         |
| ATP6      | 8154  | 8836  | +      | 683    | 0                      | ATG/TA            | /         |
| COIII     | 8837  | 9620  | +      | 784    | 0                      | ATG/T             | /         |
| tRNA-Gly  | 9621  | 9692  | +      | 72     | 0                      | /                 | TCC       |
| ND3       | 9693  | 10041 | +      | 349    | 0                      | ATG/T             | /         |
| tRNA-Arg  | 10042 | 10110 | +      | 69     | 0                      | /                 | TCG       |
| ND4L      | 10111 | 10407 | +      | 297    | -7                     | ATG/TAA           | /         |
| ND4       | 10401 | 11781 | +      | 1381   | 0                      | ATG/T             | /         |
| tRNA-His  | 11782 | 11850 | +      | 69     | 0                      | /                 | GTG       |
| tRNA-Ser1 | 11851 | 11917 | +      | 67     | 8                      | /                 | GCT       |
| tRNA-Leu1 | 11926 | 11998 | +      | 73     | 0                      | /                 | TAG       |
| ND5       | 11999 | 13837 | +      | 1839   | -4                     | ATG/TAA           | /         |
| ND6       | 13834 | 14355 | -      | 522    | 0                      | ATG/TAG           | /         |
| tRNA-Glu  | 14356 | 14424 | -      | 69     | 4                      | /                 | TTC       |
| Cyt b     | 14429 | 15569 | +      | 1141   | 0                      | ATG/T             | /         |
| tRNA-Thr  | 15570 | 15641 | +      | 72     | -1                     | /                 | TGT       |
| tRNA-Pro  | 15641 | 15710 | -      | 70     | 0                      | /                 | TGG       |
| D-loop    | 15711 | 16767 | +      | 1057   | 0                      | /                 | /         |

**Table II. Taxon, GenBank accession number, and base composition information for the available mitogenomes of 38 Cichlidae species included in this study.**

| <b>Taxon (Species)</b>               | <b>Size (bp)</b> | <b>AT %</b> | <b>AT- Skew</b> | <b>GC- Skew</b> | <b>GenBank</b> |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| <b>New World Cichlids</b>            |                  |             |                 |                 |                |
| <b>Astronotinae</b>                  |                  |             |                 |                 |                |
| <i>Astronotus ocellatus</i>          | 16569            | 55          | 0.049           | -0.342          | NC_009058.1    |
| <i>Chaetobranchopsis bitaeniatus</i> | 16610            | 58.4        | 0.042           | -0.351          | NC_033542.1    |
| <b>Cichlasomatinae</b>               |                  |             |                 |                 |                |
| <i>Aequidens metae</i>               | 16541            | 53.6        | 0.037           | -0.315          | NC_033544.1    |
| <i>Amphilophus amarillo</i>          | 16521            | 54.1        | 0.053           | -0.34           | KY315559.1     |
| <i>Amphilophus citrinellus</i>       | 16522            | 54.2        | 0.054           | -0.34           | NC_023827.1    |
| <i>Andinoacara pulcher</i>           | 16513            | 56.8        | 0.011           | -0.299          | NC_033547.1    |
| <i>Andinoacara rivulatus</i>         | 16585            | 56.9        | -0.019          | -0.259          | NC_025671.1    |
| <i>Bujurquina mariae</i>             | 16540            | 58.8        | 0.004           | -0.286          | NC_033543.1    |
| <i>Bujurquina oenolaemus</i>         | 16532            | 57.5        | 0.012           | -0.301          | KX397358.1     |
| <i>Cichlasoma dimerus</i>            | 16617            | 54.5        | 0.041           | -0.327          | NC_033551.1    |
| <i>Cryptoheros cutteri</i>           | 16528            | 52.9        | 0.04            | -0.328          | NC_033552.1    |
| <i>Herichthys cyanoguttatus</i>      | 16540            | 53.4        | 0.059           | -0.344          | NC_033546.1    |
| <i>Heros severus</i>                 | 16577            | 56.9        | 0.03            | -0.221          | MT363636.1     |
| <i>Hypselecara temporalis</i>        | 16544            | 53.9        | 0.021           | -0.316          | NC_011168.1    |
| <i>Kribia guianensis</i>             | 16539            | 54.3        | 0.045           | -0.324          | NC_031440.1    |
| <i>Nannacara anomala</i>             | 16502            | 53.4        | 0.025           | -0.301          | NC_031183.1    |
| <i>Parachromis managuensis</i>       | 16526            | 53.6        | 0.049           | -0.339          | NC_026918.1    |
| <i>Petenia splendida</i>             | 16518            | 53.2        | 0.053           | -0.338          | NC_024835.1    |
| <i>Pterophyllum altum</i>            | 16495            | 54.2        | 0.014           | -0.325          | NC_028723.1    |
| <i>Pterophyllum scalare</i>          | 16491            | 54.2        | 0.016           | -0.317          | NC_026535.1    |
| <i>Rocio octofasciata</i>            | 16539            | 54.4        | 0.041           | -0.34           | NC_033548.1    |
| <i>Symphysodon aequifasciata</i>     | 16545            | 54.9        | 0.049           | -0.335          | NC_028182.1    |
| <i>Symphysodon discus</i>            | 16544            | 54.9        | 0.052           | -0.337          | NC_026689.1    |
| <i>Symphysodon haraldi</i>           | 16543            | 54.9        | 0.051           | -0.336          | NC_027965.1    |
| <i>Thorichthys aureus</i>            | 16530            | 52.1        | 0.042           | -0.325          | NC_031182.1    |
| <i>Thorichthys meeki</i>             | 16527            | 53.2        | 0.052           | -0.339          | MZ427899.1     |
| <i>Uaru amphiacanthoides</i>         | 16549            | 54.4        | 0.044           | -0.326          | NC_033550.1    |
| <i>Vieja melanura</i>                | 16543            | 52.6        | 0.058           | -0.335          | NC_023526.1    |
| <b>Cichlinae</b>                     |                  |             |                 |                 |                |
| <i>Cichla ocellaris</i>              | 16526            | 54.3        | 0.076           | -0.35           | NC_030272.1    |
| <b>Geophaginae</b>                   |                  |             |                 |                 |                |
| <i>Apistogramma cacatuoides</i>      | 16870            | 54.3        | 0.025           | -0.302          | KR150874.1     |
| <i>Geophagus brasiliensis</i>        | 16559            | 54.1        | 0.044           | -0.319          | NC_031181.1    |
| <i>Geophagus steindachneri</i>       | 16594            | 53.8        | 0.063           | -0.339          | NC_033545.1    |
| <i>Gymnogeophagus balzanii</i>       | 16587            | 56          | 0.018           | -0.306          | KR150864.1     |
| <i>Mikrogeophagus altispinosus</i>   | 16767            | 52.4        | 0.06            | -0.301          | OP595704       |
| <i>Mikrogeophagus ramirezi</i>       | 16526            | 55.4        | 0.033           | -0.294          | NC_031439.1    |
| <i>Taeniacara candidi</i>            | 16581            | 57.2        | -0.005          | -0.29           | KR150873.1     |
| <b>Retroculinae</b>                  |                  |             |                 |                 |                |
| <i>Retroculus lapidifer</i>          | 16537            | 52.8        | 0.058           | -0.314          | NC_033549.1    |
| <b>African cichlid (outgroup)</b>    |                  |             |                 |                 |                |
| <b>Pseudocrenilabrinae</b>           |                  |             |                 |                 |                |
| <i>Tylochromis polylepis</i>         | 16976            | 54.5        | 0.041           | -0.319          | NC_011171.1    |




Fig. 1. Phylogenetic tree of 38 Cichlidae species based on the concatenated nucleotide sequences of 13 protein-coding genes and two rRNAs constructed using the maximum likelihood method. Numbers represent ultrafast bootstrap support values.

similar to the base composition of vertebrate genomes. The *M. altispinosus* G base content was similar to that of other teleost fishes, indicating a substantial anti-guanine skew (Gong *et al.*, 2017).

Because of differences in selection pressure and natural mutation rates between DNA strands, the distributions of bases and mutations are often uneven (Brown *et al.*, 1982). In the mitogenome of *M. altispinosus*, except for ND6 and eight tRNA coding genes located on the light strand, the other 26 coding genes were located on the heavy strand, similar to those in the mitogenomes of other fish (Ma *et al.*, 2015; Yu and Kwak, 2015). The length of overlapping fragments in the genome of fish is generally only 7–10 bp, compared with 40–46 bp fragment length in mammals (Broughton *et al.*, 2001; Zhu *et al.*, 2013). In this study, we found that the length of *M. altispinosus* overlapping fragments was 1–34 bp, with a maximum overlap of 34 bp between tRNA-Asn and tRNA-Cys because of the light-strand replication origin between the two genes

and minimum overlapping fragments (1 bp) between tRNA-Trp/tRNA-Ala, tRNA-Ala/tRNA-Asn, tRNA-Tyr/COI, and tRNA-Lys/ATP8. The control region showed substantial variation and a high rate of evolution. In this study, the A+T content (60.08%) was also relatively high in the *M. altispinosus* control region, which regulates mitochondrial replication and transcription.

According to the start and stop positions, length, and base composition of the 37 genes in the mitogenome of *M. altispinosus*, the mitogenome characteristics are highly similar to those of *M. ramirezi*, another species in the same genus. However, there are also differences, for example, the 16S RNA of *M. altispinosus* was 17 bp longer than that of *M. ramirezi*. Among the protein-coding genes, the *ATP6* of *M. altispinosus* was 1 bp shorter, the *Cytb* was 1 bp longer, and the *COI* was 3 bp longer than those of *M. ramirezi*.

The protein-coding genes of the complete mitogenome had an identical starting codon in *M. altispinosus* and *M.*

*ramirezi*; however, the *ATP6* and *Cytb* of *M. ramirezi* had the termination codon TAA, in contrast to the incomplete terminator T/TA in *M. altispinosus*. The 3' end of the post-transcriptional product of the incomplete termination codon is U, the mitochondrial mRNA is polyadenylated after transcription, and the termination codon T finally forms the UAA termination codon (Ojala *et al.*, 1981). Among the 37 New World cichlids mitogenomes published to date, the AT% was greater than 50% (range: 52.1%–58.8%), and the length range was 16,491–16,870 bp. The GC skew showed evident negative values, and the AT skew was positive, except in the genomes of *Andinoacara rivulatus* and *Taeniacara candidi*. These characteristics were similar to those reported previously in the mitogenomes of Cichlidae (Chen *et al.*, 2020; Nam and Rhee, 2022).

#### Phylogenetic analysis

To understand the evolutionary relationships of New World cichlids, maximum likelihood (Fig. 1) and Bayesian inference (Fig. 2) trees were constructed based on 13

protein-coding genes and two rRNAs from 38 species. Phylogenetic trees constructed using these two methods revealed the same topological structure, except for the location of *Chaetobranchopsis bitaeniatus*. The target species *M. altispinosus* and *M. ramirezi* were clustered with high support (bootstrap value of 100% and Bayesian posterior probability value of 1.0), and the monophyly of Geophaginae was well supported. All species in Cichlasomatinae, except *Heros severus*, were closely clustered. Among the eight multi-species genera (i.e., those including two or more species), we observed consistent classification and morphological results for seven genera, *Amphilophus*, *Andinoacara*, *Bujurquina*, *Pterophyllum*, *Symphysodon*, *Thorichthys*, and *Mikrogeophagus*; only two *Geophagus* species failed to form a branch. The two Astronotinae species also failed to form a single branch. At present, few complete mitogenomes are available for Astronotinae, Cichlinae, and Retroculinae, indicating that more research is required.




Fig. 2. Phylogenetic tree of 38 Cichlidae species based on the concatenated nucleotide sequences of 13 protein-coding genes and two rRNAs constructed using the Bayesian inference method. Applicable posterior probability values are shown.

Notably, the tree lengths of *Amphilophus* and *Symphysodon* were very short or nearly zero in both phylogenetic trees. Comparing the complete mitogenome sequences of *Amphilophus amarillo* and *A. citrinellus* revealed only four substitutions. The complete mitogenome sequences of *Symphysodon aequifasciata*, *S. discus*, and *S. haraldi* were also compared, which revealed differences between *S. aequifasciatus* and the other two species, with 243 variant sites as well as 41 variant sites between *S. discus* and *S. haraldi*. We propose at least three reasons for this result: morphological identification error, co-evolution, or interspecific hybridization. We expect that the novel mitogenome sequenced in this study will be useful for detailed analyses of *M. altispinosus* genetics as well as further phylogenetic studies, particularly as more data become available.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Authors are grateful to the reviewer for the constructive criticisms and valuable comments.

### Funding

This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (Grant number 2018YFD0900802) and the Fishery Resources Survey of Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region (GXZC2022-G3-001062-ZHZB).

### IRB approval and ethical statement

All experiments were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the Department of Ecology of Jinan University and conducted in compliance with relevant animal welfare and protection laws.

### Statement of conflict of interest

The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

## REFERENCES

- Anderson, S., Bankier, A.T., Barrell, B.G., de Bruijn, M.H., Coulson, A.R., Drouin, J. and Young, I.G., 1981. Sequence and organization of the human mitochondrial genome. *Nature*, **290**: 457-465. <https://doi.org/10.1038/290457a0>
- Bankevich, A., Nurk, S., Antipov, D., Gurevich, A.A., Dvorkin, M., Kulikov, A.S. and Pevzner, P.A., 2012. SPAdes: A new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J. Comput. Biol.*, **19**: 455-477. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021>
- Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M. and Usadel, B., 2014. Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, **30**: 2114-2120. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170>
- Broughton, R.E., Milam, J.E. and Roe, B.A., 2001. The complete sequence of the zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) mitochondrial genome and evolutionary patterns in vertebrate mitochondrial DNA. *Genome Res.*, **11**: 1958-1967. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.156801>
- Brown, W.M., Prager, E.M. and Wang, A., 1982. Mitochondrial DNA sequences of primates: Tempo and mode of evolution. *J. mol. Evol.*, **18**: 225-239. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01734101>
- Chen, Y., Yang, C., Chen, Z., Tang, W., Lei, Z. and Du, Y., 2020. Complete mitochondrial DNA genome of banded cichlid *Heros severus* Heckel, 1840 (Perciformes: Cichlidae). *Mitochondrial DNA B*, **5**: 2697-2698. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23802359.2020.1787260>
- Cooper, A., Lalueza-Fox, C., Anderson, S., Rambaut, A., Austin, J. and Ward, R., 2001. Complete mitochondrial genome sequences of two extinct moas clarify ratite evolution. *Nature*, **409**: 704-707. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35055536>
- DoNascimento, C., Herrera-Collazos, E.E., Herrera-R, G.A., Ortega-Lara, A., Villa-Navarro, F.A., Oviedo, J.S.U. and Maldonado-Ocampo, J.A., 2017. Checklist of the freshwater fishes of Colombia: A Darwin core alternative to the updating problem. *Zoo Keys*, **708**: 25. <https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.708.13897>
- Gong, L., Liu, L.Q. and Guo, B.Y., 2017. The complete mitochondrial genome of *Oncorhynchus masou formosanus* (Salmoniformes: Salmonidae) and phylogenetic studies of salmoninae. *Conserv. Genet. Resour.*, **9**: 1-4. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12686-016-0673-1>
- Haseman, J.D., 1911. An annotated catalog of the cichlid fishes collected by the expedition of the Carnegie Museum to Central South America, 1907-10. *Annls Carnegie Mus.*, **7**: 329-373. <https://doi.org/10.5962/p.242803>
- Huelsenbeck, J.P. and Ronquist, F., 2001. MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics*, **17**: 754-755. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/17.8.754>
- Iwasaki, W., Fukunaga, T., Isagozawa, R., Yamada, K., Maeda, Y., Satoh, T.P. and Nishida, M., 2013. MitoFish and MitoAnnotator: A mitochondrial genome database of fish with an accurate and automatic annotation pipeline. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, **30**: 2531-2540. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst141>

- Kullander, S.O., 2003. Cichlidae (Cichlids). In: *Checklist of the freshwater fishes of South and Central America* (eds. R.E. Reis, S.O. Kullander and C.J. Ferraris, Jr.). Porto Alegre: EDIPUCRS, Brasil, pp. 605-654.
- Kumar, S., Tamura, K. and Nei, M., 1994. MEGA: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis software for microcomputers. *Bioinformatics*, **10**: 189-191. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/10.2.189>
- Ma, B., Jiang, H.Y., Sun, P., Chen, J., Li, L., Zhang, X. and Yuan, L., 2015. Phylogeny and dating of divergences within the genus *T* (Salmonidae: Thymallinae) using complete mitochondrial genomes. *Mitochondr. DNA A*, **2015**. <https://doi.org/10.3109/19401736.2015.1079824>
- Macaulay, V., Hill, C., Achilli, A., Rengo, C., Clarke, D., Meehan, W. and Richards, M., 2005. Single, rapid coastal settlement of Asia revealed by analysis of complete mitochondrial genomes. *Science*, **308**: 1034-1036. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1109792>
- Nam, S.E., and Rhee, J.S., 2022. Characterization and phylogenetic analysis of the complete mitochondrial genome of the firemouth cichlid, *Thorichthys meeki* (Perciformes: Cichlidae). *Mitochondrial DNA B*, **7**: 1072-1074. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23802359.2022.2086080>
- Nguyen, L.T., Schmidt, H.A., Von Haeseler, A. and Minh, B.Q., 2015. IQ-TREE: A fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, **32**: 268-274. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300>
- Ojala, D., Montoya, J. and Attardi, G., 1981. tRNA punctuation model of RNA processing in human mitochondria. *Nature*, **290**: 470-474. <https://doi.org/10.1038/290470a0>
- Perna, N.T. and Kocher, T.D., 1995. Patterns of nucleotide composition at fourfold degenerate sites of animal mitochondrial genomes. *J. mol. Evol.*, **41**: 353-358. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00186547>
- Staeck, W., Ottoni, F.P., and Schindler, I., 2022. *Mikrogeophagus maculicauda*, a new dwarf cichlid (Teleostei: Cichlidae) from the eastern drainage of the upper Rio Guaporé, Brazil. *FishTaxa*, **24**: 49-58.
- Stepien, C.A., and Kocher, T.D., 1997. Molecules and morphology in studies of fish evolution. *Mol. Syst. Fish.*, **1997**: 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012417540-2/50002-6>
- Yu, J.N. and Kwak, M., 2015. The complete mitochondrial genome of *Brachymystax lenok tsinlingensis* (Salmoninae, Salmonidae) and its intraspecific variation. *Gene*, **573**: 246-253. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2015.07.049>
- Zhang, D., Gao, F., Jakovlić, I., Zou, H., Zhang, J., Li, W.X. and Wang, G.T., 2020. PhyloSuite: An integrated and scalable desktop platform for streamlined molecular sequence data management and evolutionary phylogenetics studies. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.*, **20**: 348-355. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13096>
- Zhu, Y., Chen, Y., Cheng, Q., Qiao, H. and Chen, W., 2013. The complete mitochondrial genome sequence of *Schizothorax macropogon* (Cypriniformes: Cyprinidae). *Mitochondrial DNA*, **24**: 237-239. <https://doi.org/10.3109/19401736.2012.752478>