



Short Communication

Growth Performance of *Labeo rohita* Fingerlings Fed with *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* Supplemented Canola Meal and Corn Gluten-Based Feeds

Sadaf Aman¹, Yung Fu Chang², Javed Iqbal Qazi^{1*}, Rahman Ullah^{3*} and Farah Aman⁴

¹Institute of Zoology, University of the Punjab, Quaid-e-Azam Campus, Lahore, Pakistan

²Department of Population Medicine and Diagnostic Sciences, Cornell University College of Veterinary Medicine, Ithaca, 14853, New York

³Faculty of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, The University of Agriculture, Dera Ismail Khan, Pakistan

⁴Department of Zoology, Lahore College for Women University, Jail Road, Lahore, Pakistan

ABSTRACT

The present study was carried out to record growth response of *Labeo rohita* fingerlings fed with *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* supplemented feed. In a completely randomized design fishes were divided into two different treatments based on feeding with and without probiotics in canola meal and corn gluten meal. The control group was fed with commercial feed. Before stocking, all the aquaria were dried for 3 days. One eighty hundred and eighty fish (*Labeo rohita*) were stocked in 9 aquaria. Twenty fishes were kept in each group. Before stocking, the fish was treated with KMnO₄. In treatment 1 (T₁) fish were fed with canola meal along with *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* (NR-113332.1). In treatment 2 (T₂) fish were fed with corn gluten meal along with *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*. The fish were fed at the rate of 3% body weight. All the fish were carefully weighed during the trial to verify the result of feed on growth. Feed was readjusted after every fortnight's sampling. The initial mean weight of the experimental fish (*Labeo rohita*) was recorded as 40.01±1.00g, 39.67±0.76 g, and 40.01±1.00 g for T₁, T₂ and control group respectively. After 90 days the highest weight gain was found in case of T₁, (683.64° ±1.93) and the lowest one (479.35 ±1.8g) was recorded in the control group. Significant differences were found in the feed conversion ratio between the control group (2.02±0.07), T₁ (1.65±0.01) and T₂ (1.74±0.01). Survival remained 100% in all the treatments. Water quality parameters remained in a favorable range throughout the study period, and non-significant differences were recorded for the different treatment groups. All the treatments showed low fat contents and good crude protein values of the fish meat. It is concluded that fish production can be enhanced with the addition of the probiotic in the feed derived from plant origin ingredients. This practice will economize, promote growth and prevent feed associated stress in the aquaculture industry in this country in an environmentally sustainable way.

Article Information

Received September 13, 2022

Revised February 15, 2023

Accepted March 03, 2023

Available online 20 June 2023
(early access)

Published 08 November 2024

Authors' Contribution

SA, YFC and JIQ planned and designed the study. RU and FA analyzed the data.

Key words

Canola meal, Corn gluten meal, *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*, Fish growth, Probiotics, *Labeo rohita*

Aquaculture is not a new concept, rather, it is the most ancient source of animal culturing. Fish are the

primary component of aquaculture and are a vital component of human diet all over the world. Fish meat supplies a significant resource of nutrients, essential for a good health (World Aquaculture, 2010). Fishes have great significance in the life of humankind, for being an important natural source of protein and providing some other useful products as well.

Fish meal is generally considered the golden standard protein source for many species but today it is considered both environmentally and ecologically unstable. It has generally been claimed that upto 50% fishmeal protein can be replaced by plant proteins in fish feed. However, while employing plant based feeds one has to limit the %age of

* Corresponding author: qazi.zool@pu.edu.pk, rahmanmohmand99@yahoo.com
0030-9923/2024/0006-2985 \$ 9.00/00



Copyright 2024 by the authors. Licensee Zoological Society of Pakistan.

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

plant origin protein source.

Among the leading causes of mortalities in fish hatcheries are bacterial infections, which are major problems for the industry. When looking at probiotics intended for aquatic usage, it is important to consider certain factors that are fundamentally different from the applications of probiotics in environment. Most probiotics products contain bacteria from the genera *Lactobacillus* spp., *Bifidobacterium* spp. Lactic acid bacteria and *Bifidobacterium* in fact these genera have been established as safe and reliable probiotics. However, *Bacillus* spp. have been relatively less studied as probiotics (Patel *et al.*, 2009).

Aquatic animals have a much closer relationship with their external environment. Potential pathogens are able to maintain themselves in the external environment of the animal and proliferate independently of the host animal in the water (Hansen and Olafsen, 1999; Verschuere *et al.*, 2000). Probiotics are microorganisms that have beneficial effects on their hosts. Kozasa (1986) made the first empirical application of probiotics in aquaculture following the applications of probiotics in humans and poultry. Probiotics have been used in aquaculture to increase the growth of cultivated species. However, it is important to determine whether probiotics taste good for aquaculture species (Irianto and Austin, 2002). Probiotics are living microorganisms that play a vital role in improving fish health and immunity (Gatesoupe, 1999) and increase digestibility rate in fish by adding plant meal-based diets (Irianto and Austin, 2002). Probiotics also help in the fast metabolic rate of nutrients, which helps in the digestion of the breakdown of starch, protein, lipids, and cellulose which makes the digestion process easy (Hoyoux *et al.*, 2009; Suzer *et al.*, 2007). Das *et al.* (2017) reported when probiotics are added to fish diets, the growth rate of fish increased. Many studies have shown that probiotic yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) when incorporated in Nile tilapia improved the growth rate and also increased its immunity (Abdel-Tawwab *et al.*, 2008; Iwashita *et al.*, 2015; Abass *et al.*, 2018; Sutthi and Thaimuangphol, 2020).

Probiotics are microorganisms which applied in sufficient amounts render health benefit to the host. They have been documented to promote growth of animals and to protect them from certain diseases especially gastrointestinal infection. They can colonize in the gastrointestinal tract when administered over a long period addition of probiotics continuous to fish cultures, they will colonize there, and adhere to the intestinal mucosa, developing and exercising their multiple benefits (Balcazar *et al.*, 2006). The present study was carried out to improve growth performance in fish by incorporating

Lactobacillus rhamnosus in the plant based feeds.

Materials and methods

The experimental trial was carried out in the Fisheries and Microbial Biotechnology Lab, Institute of Zoology, University of the Punjab, Lahore. The experiment was carried out in glass aquaria each of (60.96 × 55.88 × 40.64 cm) in dimensions for 90 days. There were two replicates of each treatment. The design of experiment was CRD.

The fish was collected from Fisheries Research Institute, Manawan, Lahore. Fingerlings weighing 25 to 40 g were stocked in 9 aquaria, each aquarium with 20 fingerlings. Before stocking the fish, wet body weight and length of the fish were measured.

The control group was fed with commercial feed, whereas the treatment groups were fed with plant based feeds. The treatment groups T₁ and T₂ were fed with canola meal and corn gluten meal each supplemented with probiotic *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* (NR-113332.1), respectively. The control as well as experimental feeds had 30% crude protein (CP) levels. Fish were fed twice a day (morning and afternoon) with 3% of the body weight of fish for 6 days a week. Fish feed quantity was recalculated after fortnightly sampling.

The mixed feed ingredients were formulated in the pelleted form to particulate size of 2mm by a pelleting machine. The air dried product was packed in opaque and well-sealed plastic zipper packets till further use.

Before stocking the fish, initial weight and length were measured. At end of the experiment, final gain in weight, feed conversion ratio, percentage weight gain, the net gain in weight, and specific growth rate (SGR %) were calculated. Dissolved oxygen, temperature, and pH were recorded on a daily basis by using multimeter. In addition, nitrates and phosphates were recorded using HANNA Nitrate Test Kit HI3874.

Analysis of variance ANOVA was applied to the data obtained to compare the means using statistical software SAS 9.1.

Results and discussion

The fish fed with the plant product-based diet supplemented with *L. rhamnosus* (NR-113332.1) showed a remarkable increase in weight. Highest % weight gain upto 683.64 ± 1.93 was observed in the case of T₁, followed by T₂ 660.49 ± 0.80 as compared to the control value of 479.35 ± 1.8 (Table I). Likewise, maximum % gain in length was measured as 35.90 ± 1.4, 37.73 ± 4.4, 3.83 ± 0.29 for T₁, T₂ and control groups, respectively. Statistically notable differences in % length increase were observed among the control group, T₂ and T₁ groups (Table I).

Table I. Growth of *Labeo rohita* fed with *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* supplemented canola based meal and corn gluten based feeds.

Parameters	Control	<i>L. rhamnosus</i> supplemented canola meal (T ₁)	<i>L. rhamnosus</i> supplemented corn gluten meal (T ₂)
Initial weight (g)	40.01±1.00 ^a	40.01±0.27 ^a	39.67±0.29 ^a
Final weight (g)	231.67±1.08 ^a	313.33±1.09 ^c	301.67±1.07 ^c
% gain in weight	479.35±1.8 ^a	683.64±1.93 ^c	660.49±0.80 ^c
Initial length(cm)	13.17±0.29 ^a	13.00±0.56 ^a	13.33±0.56 ^a
Final length (cm)	17.00±0.01 ^a	17.67±0.53 ^b	18.33±0.55 ^b
Increase in length (cm)	29.16±1.79 ^a	35.90±1.4 ^b	37.73±4.4 ^a
FCR	2.02±0.07 ^c	1.65±0.01 ^a	1.74±0.01 ^a
SGR (%)	0.64±0.02 ^a	0.76±0.02 ^c	0.75±0.02 ^c

Values represents Mean ± S.E.M of triplicates. Values having different superscripts in a respective row are significantly different from each other p≤0.05. FCR, feed conversion rate; SGR, specific growth rate.

Carnevali *et al.* (2006) reported that growth was observed in groups fed with *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* compared to the control. The present results indicate that fish health and growth performance improved, despite the different feeding methods and species in the current study.

Non-significant differences in the value of physico chemical parameters were recorded in all the treatment groups. Throughout the experiment the temperature in all the treatment groups, as well as the control group fluctuated between 27.1°C to 27.9°C throughout the experimental period. Likewise, DO remained within a favorable range from 6.4mg/l to 6.8mg/l. During the whole experimental period, pH remained neutral. Non-significant differences were also observed for the nitrates and phosphates contents of water among all the treatments.

At end of the experiments, fish were processed for proximate analysis. Maximum CP upto 65.94 ±0.03 was observed in the case of T₂ which was remarkably different from the control group. Significantly higher fat content up to 9.15 ±0.05 was found in the control group, whereas the respective values of T₁ and T₂ were 6.57±0.13 and 6.22±0.01. Ash content of the fishes were 20.15±0.01, 21.27±0.15, and 21.57±0.06 in the control group, T₁ and T₂, respectively. Moisture contents of fishes were 9.31±0.07, 9.22±0.01, and 9.35±0.09 in the control group, T₁ and T₂ groups, respectively. Dry matter of the fish sample showed a non-significant (p>0.05) differences between the treatments (Table II).

Table II. Whole body composition (%) fed with *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* supplemented canola based meal and corn gluten based feeds of *Labeo rohita*.

Parameter (%)	Control	<i>L. rhamnosus</i> supplemented canola meal (T ₁)	<i>L. rhamnosus</i> supplemented corn gluten meal (T ₂)
CP	63.87±0.40 ^a	65.79±0.13 ^c	65.94±0.03 ^b
Fat	9.15±0.05 ^c	6.57±0.13 ^a	6.22±0.01 ^a
Ash	23.40±0.06 ^c	20.15±0.01 ^a	21.27±0.15 ^a
Moisture	8.74±0.21 ^b	9.31±0.07 ^b	9.22±0.01 ^b
Dry matter	91.26±0.21 ^b	90.69 ±0.07 ^a	90.78±0.01 ^a

Values represent Mean ± SD of triplicates. These were having different superscripts in a row are significantly different from each other p≤0.05. CP, crude protein.

Conclusion

The present results suggested that *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* (NR-113332.1) is a promising candidate generates useful information for aqua feed and fish industry regarding the possible combination of plant-based feeds and probiotic usage for enhancing the growth of *Labeo rohita* under control conditions. This blending of probiotic and plant based feed will likely replace expensive feed ingredients with low-cost ingredients that will economize aquaculture production through optimum survival rate and enhanced growth with good fish yield.

Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge and thank all the supporting staff of Department Zoology, University of the Punjab and Department of Population Medicine and Diagnostic Sciences, Cornell University College of Veterinary Medicine, Ithaca, New York, for their help in collection of data and providing assistance during lab analysis.

Funding

There is no funding provided for this research by any donor organization.

Ethical statement

No animals were harmed while carrying out this research.

Statement of conflict of interest

The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

References

- Abass, D.A., Obirikorang, K.A., Campion, B.B., Edziyie, R.E. and Skov, P.V., 2018. *Aquac. Int.*, **26**: 843-855. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10499->

- 018-0255-1
- Abdel-Tawwab, M., Abdel-Rahman, A.M. and Ismael, N., 2008. *Aquaculture*, **280**: 185-189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2008.03.055>
- Balcazar, J.L., Blas, I.D., Ruiz, Z.I., Cunningham, D., Vendrell, D. and Muzquiz, J.L., 2006. *Vet. Microbiol.*, **114**: 173-186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2006.01.009>
- Carnevali, O.D., Sulpizio, L., Gioacchini, R., Olivotto, I.G. and Silvi, S., 2006. *Aquaculture*, **258**: 430-438. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2006.04.025>
- Das, S., Mondal, K. and Haque, S., 2017. *Growth*, **14**: 5.
- Gatesoupe, F.J., 1999. *Aquaculture*, **180**: 147-165. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486\(99\)00187-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(99)00187-8)
- Hansen, G.H. and Olafsen, J.A., 1999. *Microbial Ecol.*, **38**: 1-26. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002489900158>
- Hoyoux, C., Zbinden, M., Samadi, S., Gaill, F. and Compère, P., 2009. *Mar. Biol.*, **156**: 2421-2439. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-009-1266-2>
- Irianto, A. and Austin, B., 2002. *J. Fish Dis.*, **25**: 333-342. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2761.2002.00375.x>
- Iwashita, M.K.P., Nakandakare, I.B., Terhune, J.S., Wood, T. and Ranzani-Paiva, M.J.T., 2015. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.*, **43**: 60-66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2014.12.008>
- Kozasa, M., 1986. *Microbiol. Aliments Nutr.*, **4**: 121-135.
- Patel, K.A., Deshattiwar, K.M., Chaudhari, L.B. and Chincholkar, B.S., 2009. *Biores. Technol.*, **100**: 368-373. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2008.05.008>
- Sutthi, N. and Thaimuangphol, W., 2020. *Iran. J. Fish. Sci.*, **19**: 1428-1446.
- Suzer, C., Kamaci, H.O., Coban, D., Saka, Ş., Firat, K., Özkara, B. and Özkara, A., 2007. *Aquacult. Res.*, **38**: 1778-1785. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2007.01841.x>
- Verschuere, L., Rombout, G., Sorgeloos and Verstraete, W., 2000. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*, **64**: 655-671. <https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.64.4.655-671.2000>
- World Aquaculture, 2010. Contribution to food security. In: *FAO fisheries and aquaculture technical paper*. **500**: 120.