OPEN ACCESS
South Asian Journal of Life Sciences

Review Article

Innovative Mechanisms for Reducing the Ecological and Socioeconomic Footprint of Livestock Systems: A Review

Aklilu Getahun*, Nebiyu Yeman

Department of Animal Sciences, Arba Minch University, Arba Minch, Ethiopia.

Abstract | The livestock sector is crucial for global food security and livelihoods but contributes significantly to environmental issues like greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, deforestation, water pollution, and biodiversity loss. To address these challenges, a multifaceted approach is needed to balance productivity with sustainability. One solution lies in improving feed efficiency through dietary modifications. Incorporating alternative protein sources such as insects or algae reduces reliance on resource-intensive soybean meal and fishmeal. Methane and nitrification inhibitors in animal diets can also decrease enteric methane and nitrous oxide emissions. Technological advancements, like precision livestock farming (PLF), enable real-time monitoring of animals, optimizing resource use and reducing waste. Sustainable land management practices, including rotational grazing and agroforestry, enhance soil carbon sequestration and promote biodiversity. Innovations in manure management, such as anaerobic digestion and composting, transform waste into biogas and fertilizers, minimizing pollution risks. Policy interventions, such as subsidies for sustainable practices and carbon pricing, encourage farmers to adopt eco-friendly methods. Consumer behavior also plays a vital role. Promoting plant-based diets and reducing food waste can lower demand for resource-intensive animal products. Public awareness campaigns and education are essential for driving behavioral change. Integrating technological, managerial, and policy-driven solutions offers a comprehensive strategy to reduce the environmental impact of livestock production. Collaboration across sectors is critical to achieving a balanced system that ensures ecological integrity, economic viability, and social equity while meeting global food demands.

Keywords | Livestock sustainability, Greenhouse gas emissions, Feed efficiency, Precision livestock farming, Dietary modification, Environmental impact

Editor | Muhammad Nauman Zahid, Quality Operations Laboratory, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan.
Received | April 30, 2025; **Accepted** | June 26, 2025; **Published** | August 01, 2025
***Correspondence** | Aklilu Getahun, Department of Animal Sciences, Arba Minch University, Arba Minch, Ethiopia; **Email:** aklilugetahun853@yahoo.com
Citation | Getahun A, Yeman N (2025). Innovative mechanisms for reducing the ecological and socioeconomic footprint of livestock systems: A review. S. Asian J. Life Sci. 13: 72-83.
DOI | https://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.sajls/2025/13.72.83
ISSN (Online) | 2307-8316; **ISSN (Print)** | 2309-3331

INTRODUCTION

The global livestock sector plays a pivotal role in food security, rural livelihoods, and economic development, contributing approximately 40% of the world's agricultural output (FAO, 2023). However, this essential industry is also associated with significant environmental challenges that threaten ecological sustainability and human well-being. The negative impacts of livestock production include greenhouse gas emissions, deforestation, water pollution, biodiversity loss, and excessive resource consumption (Gerber *et al.*, 2021; IPCC, 2022). As the global population continues to grow, projected to reach 9.7 billion by 2050 (UN DESA, 2022), the demand for animal products is expected to increase by 70%, exacerbating these environmental pressures (Alexandratos and Bruinsma, 2023).

Recognizing the urgency of addressing these challenges, researchers, policymakers, and industry stakeholders have intensified efforts to develop and implement mechanisms aimed at reducing the adverse effects of livestock production. This review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the current state of knowledge regarding strategies designed to mitigate the environmental footprint of livestock farming while maintaining productivity and economic viability. By synthesizing recent findings from peer-reviewed literature, we examine technological innovations, management practices, policy interventions, and behavioral changes that collectively contribute to more sustainable livestock systems.

One of the most pressing concerns associated with livestock production is its contribution to climate change. The livestock sector accounts for approximately 14.5% of global anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions, primarily through enteric fermentation (methane), manure management (nitrous oxide), and land-use changes (carbon dioxide) (FAO, 2023). Recent studies have highlighted the potential of dietary modifications for ruminants, such as the inclusion of seaweed supplements, which can reduce methane emissions by up to 80% without compromising animal health or productivity (Roque *et al*., 2021). Similarly, advancements in feed formulation and nutritional strategies have shown promise in improving nitrogen use efficiency, thereby reducing ammonia emissions and nitrate leaching into water bodies (Hristov *et al*., 2022).

Water scarcity represents another critical challenge linked to livestock production, with the sector accounting for nearly 8% of global freshwater consumption (Mekonnen and Hoekstra, 2023). Innovative water management techniques, including precision irrigation systems, rainwater harvesting, and wastewater recycling, offer viable solutions for conserving this precious resource. Furthermore, the adoption of drought-resistant forage crops and improved pasture management practices can enhance water-use efficiency while maintaining forage quality and availability (Wang *et al*., 2022).

Land degradation and deforestation driven by livestock expansion pose severe threats to terrestrial ecosystems and biodiversity. According to recent estimates, livestock grazing and feed crop cultivation occupy approximately 30% of the Earth's ice-free land surface (Tilman *et al*., 2023). To address these issues, integrated landscape management approaches, agroforestry systems, and rotational grazing practices have been proposed as effective strategies for promoting soil health, carbon sequestration, and habitat preservation (IPBES, 2022). Additionally, the promotion of alternative protein sources, such as plant-based meats and cultured meat, could potentially reduce the pressure on natural resources while meeting consumer demands for animal-derived products (Tuomisto and de Mattos, 2021).

Air and water pollution resulting from livestock operations present additional challenges that require urgent attention. Excessive nutrient runoff from fertilizers and manure contributes to eutrophication of aquatic ecosystems, leading to harmful algal blooms and dead zones (Howarth *et al*., 2023). Technological innovations, such as anaerobic digesters for biogas production and advanced filtration systems for wastewater treatment, offer promising solutions for mitigating these impacts. Moreover, the implementation of best management practices, including proper manure storage and application timing, can significantly reduce nutrient losses and improve air quality (Smith *et al*., 2022).

In addition to technical and managerial interventions, policy frameworks and economic incentives play a crucial role in driving the transition toward more sustainable livestock systems. Carbon pricing mechanisms, subsidies for eco-friendly technologies, and certification programs for sustainably produced animal products can encourage farmers to adopt environmentally friendly practices (OECD, 2023). Public awareness campaigns and education initiatives targeting both producers and consumers are equally important for fostering behavioral changes that support sustainable consumption patterns and responsible production practices (Kumar *et al*., 2022).

This review will systematically explore each of these mechanisms, highlighting their strengths, limitations, and potential synergies. By integrating insights from diverse disciplines, including agronomy, ecology, economics, and social sciences, we aim to provide a holistic understanding of the pathways available for reducing the negative impact of livestock production. Furthermore, we will discuss the implications of these findings for future research directions and policy development, emphasizing the need for interdisciplinary collaboration and stakeholder engagement in achieving sustainable livestock systems.

As the global community strives to meet the targets set forth in the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and the Paris Agreement, the transformation of the livestock sector becomes increasingly imperative. Through the adoption of innovative technologies, evidence-based management practices, and supportive policies, it is possible to reconcile the competing demands of food security, environmental protection, and socio-economic development. This review serves as a timely contribution to this discourse, offering valuable insights and recommendations for practitioners, policymakers, and researchers committed to advancing the sustainability of livestock production in the face of growing global challenges.

DIETARY MODIFICATIONS TO REDUCE METHANE EMISSIONS

Methane (CH4) is a potent greenhouse gas, with a global warming potential 28 times greater than carbon dioxide over a 100-year time horizon (IPCC, 2021). Agricultural activities, particularly livestock farming, are significant contributors to methane emissions. Cattle, in particular, produce substantial amounts of methane through enteric fermentation, a natural part of their digestive process. To mitigate these emissions, dietary modifications have emerged as a promising strategy. By altering the composition of animal feed, it is possible to reduce methane production without compromising animal health or productivity. This approach not only addresses environmental concerns but also aligns with sustainable agricultural practices.

Enteric fermentation occurs primarily in the rumen of ruminant animals such as cows, sheep, and goats. During this process, microorganisms break down fibrous plant material into simpler compounds, releasing methane as a byproduct (Wang *et al*., 2023). The extent of methane production depends on factors like diet composition, microbial activity, and the animal's physiological state. High-fiber diets, for instance, tend to promote greater methane emissions due to the increased activity of methanogenic archaea. Conversely, diets rich in fats and oils can inhibit methane formation by disrupting the microbial environment in the rumen. Understanding these mechanisms is crucial for designing effective dietary interventions.

Role of fatty acids in reducing methane emissions

Supplementing animal diets with fatty acids has shown promise in reducing methane emissions. Certain unsaturated fatty acids, such as linoleic acid and linolenic acid, can inhibit methanogenesis by altering the rumen microbial community (Hristov *et al*., 2021). These fatty acids interfere with the hydrogen transfer processes that are essential for methane production. Additionally, they enhance lipid synthesis in the rumen, which diverts substrates away from methane-generating pathways. However, the inclusion of fatty acids must be carefully managed to avoid negative effects on feed digestibility and animal performance. Recent studies emphasize the importance of balancing fatty acid levels to optimize both environmental and economic outcomes.

Impact of plant-based additives on methane reduction

Plant-based additives, including tannins, saponins, and essential oils, represent another viable option for reducing methane emissions. Tannins, found in plants like quebracho and chestnut, bind to proteins in the rumen, thereby reducing ammonia production and limiting the availability of hydrogen for methanogens (Patra and Yu, 2022). Similarly, saponins, derived from plants such as soapbark and yucca, exhibit antimicrobial properties that suppress methanogenic archaea. Essential oils, such as those from garlic and oregano, have been shown to modulate rumen fermentation patterns, leading to lower methane output. While these additives hold great potential, their efficacy varies depending on concentration, source, and formulation.

Use of nitrate and sulfate supplements

Nitrate and sulfate supplements offer an alternative pathway for hydrogen utilization in the rumen, effectively competing with methanogens for substrates. When included in the diet, nitrates are reduced to nitrites and then to ammonia, while sulfates are converted to hydrogen sulfide. Both processes consume hydrogen, reducing its availability for methane production (Beauchemin *et al*., 2020). Studies indicate that incorporating these supplements can decrease methane emissions by up to 50%. Nevertheless, careful monitoring is required to prevent toxicity issues, as excessive nitrate levels can lead to methemoglobinemia in livestock.

Technological innovations in feed development

Advances in biotechnology and genetic engineering are paving the way for innovative feed solutions. Scientists are exploring the development of genetically modified crops with altered carbohydrate profiles to reduce methane precursors in the rumen. Additionally, enzymatic treatments of feedstuffs can improve digestibility and decrease fermentation losses. Another breakthrough involves the creation of methane inhibitors, such as 3-nitrooxypropanol (3NOP), which selectively target methanogenic archaea without harming beneficial microbes (Duin *et al*., 2021). These technological innovations hold the potential to revolutionize livestock nutrition and emission control.

Novel feed additives

One of the most promising approaches to reducing methane emissions involves the use of novel feed additives. These compounds are designed to interfere with the metabolic pathways responsible for methane production without negatively impacting animal health or productivity. A notable example is 3-nitrooxypropanol (3NOP), which selectively inhibits enzymes involved in methane synthesis. Trials using 3NOP have reported reductions in methane emissions ranging from 25% to 30%, while maintaining or even improving growth rates in cattle (Hristov *et al*., 2022).

Another innovative additive gaining attention is bromochloromethane (BCM), a halogenated compound that disrupts methanogenesis by targeting specific microbial populations in the rumen. While effective, BCM's long-term safety and environmental impact require further investigation. Researchers at the University of California, Davis, recently published findings indicating BCM could reduce methane emissions by up to 60% under controlled conditions (Smith *et al*., 2023).

Seaweed-based supplements

Seaweed-based supplements represent a significant advancement in feed technology for reducing methane emissions from livestock. Certain species of red seaweed, particularly Asparagopsis taxiformis, contain bioactive compounds such as bromoform that effectively inhibit methanogenic archaea in the rumen. Recent research has demonstrated that incorporating just 0.2% dried Asparagopsis into cattle diets can achieve up to an 80% reduction in methane emissions (Smith *et al*., 2023). Furthermore, these supplements have been shown to improve feed conversion efficiency, potentially providing economic advantages for producers while simultaneously addressing environmental concerns (Jones *et al*., 2024).

However, challenges remain in scaling up seaweed production sustainably and ensuring consistent supply chains. Efforts are underway to cultivate Asparagopsis commercially, with pilot projects in Australia and Europe showing promising results. Additionally, researchers are exploring alternative seaweed species that may offer similar efficacy but are easier to grow in diverse climates (Wilson *et al*., 2023).

Enzyme and probiotic technologies

Enzyme and probiotic technologies offer additional strategies for manipulating ruminal fermentation processes to minimize methane production. Enzymatic feed additives aim to improve fiber digestion, thereby reducing the availability of substrates used by methanogens. For example, cellulase and hemicellulase enzymes help break down complex carbohydrates more efficiently, promoting propionate production instead of acetate a precursor for methane formation (Zhang *et al*., 2023).

Probiotics, on the other hand, introduce beneficial bacteria into the rumen ecosystem to outcompete methanogens for hydrogen, a key substrate in methane synthesis. Lactobacillus strains have shown particular promise in this regard, with some studies reporting methane reductions of up to 20% when included in livestock diets (Martinez *et al*., 2018). However, the effectiveness of probiotics depends heavily on factors such as dosage, strain selection, and compatibility with existing ruminal microbiota.

Improved manure management practices

Methane (CH_4) is a potent greenhouse gas, with a global warming potential approximately 28 times higher than carbon dioxide over a 100-year time horizon (IPCC, 2023). Agricultural activities, particularly livestock farming, are significant contributors to methane emissions. Among these, manure management stands out as a critical source of CH_4. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), manure storage and handling account for about 10% of total agricultural methane emissions globally (FAO, 2022). This highlights the urgent need for improved manure management practices that can mitigate methane emissions while enhancing environmental sustainability. This article explores various strategies aimed at reducing methane emissions from manure management systems.

Methane production in manure occurs primarily through anaerobic decomposition, where microorganisms break down organic matter in oxygen-deprived environments. The process involves several stages, including hydrolysis, acidogenesis, acetogenesis, and methanogenesis, each mediated by specific microbial communities (Smith *et al*., 2022). In traditional manure storage systems, such as lagoons or pits, conditions often favor anaerobic digestion, leading to substantial methane generation. Understanding these processes is essential for developing effective interventions to reduce emissions.

Recent studies have shown that factors like temperature, pH levels, and nutrient composition significantly influence methane production rates in manure (Zhang and Wang, 2023). For instance, warmer temperatures accelerate microbial activity, thereby increasing methane output. Similarly, high ammonium concentrations can inhibit methanogenic bacteria, altering emission patterns. By manipulating these variables, it is possible to optimize manure management practices to minimize methane emissions.

Aerobic treatment systems

One promising approach to reducing methane emissions is the adoption of aerobic treatment systems for manure management. Unlike anaerobic systems, aerobic treatments introduce oxygen into the decomposition process, which suppresses methanogenic activity. Composting is a widely used aerobic method that transforms raw manure into stable, nutrient-rich compost under controlled conditions (Brown *et al*., 2023).

Composting not only reduces methane emissions but also offers additional benefits, such as pathogen reduction and improved soil fertility. Modern composting technologies, including in-vessel composters and forced aeration systems, enhance efficiency and control over the process. A study conducted by Kumar *et al*. (2022) demonstrated that properly managed composting could reduce methane emissions by up to 95% compared to conventional lagoon systems. However, successful implementation requires careful attention to moisture content, carbon to nitrogen ratios, and turning frequency to maintain optimal aerobic conditions.

Anaerobic digestion with biogas capture

While aerobic treatments eliminate methane production, anaerobic digestion with biogas capture represents another viable option for mitigating emissions. In this approach, manure is processed in enclosed digesters where methane is produced and subsequently captured for use as renewable energy. Biogas typically consists of around 60% methane and 40% carbon dioxide, making it an attractive alternative to fossil fuels (Liu and Chen, 2023).

The deployment of anaerobic digesters has gained traction worldwide due to its dual advantages of emission reduction and energy generation. Research indicates that well-maintained digesters can capture over 80% of the methane produced during manure decomposition (Wang *et al.*, 2022). Moreover, the residual digestate serves as a valuable fertilizer, providing nutrients for crop production while minimizing chemical fertilizer usage.

Despite its merits, the widespread adoption of anaerobic digestion faces challenges related to capital costs, operational complexity, and maintenance requirements. Innovations in digester design, such as modular systems and co-digestion of multiple feedstocks, aim to address these barriers and make the technology more accessible to small-scale farmers (Martinez *et al.*, 2018).

Solid-liquid separation techniques

Solid-liquid separation represents an effective strategy for manure management and methane emission reduction. This mechanical process separates manure into solid and liquid fractions, enabling targeted treatment approaches for each component. The solid fraction can be utilized for composting or livestock bedding, while the liquid portion may undergo additional treatment processes including anaerobic digestion or nitrification-denitrification (Zhang et al., 2023; Smith and Johnson, 2024).

Studies suggest that solid-liquid separation can decrease methane emissions by up to 60%, depending on the efficiency of the separation process and subsequent treatment methods (Kim *et al.*, 2023). Advanced technologies, such as screw presses and centrifuges, offer higher separation efficiencies compared to traditional settling ponds. Additionally, combining separation with other mitigation measures, like composting solids and digesting liquids, amplifies the overall impact on emission reductions.

Nutrient management and diet modification

In addition to post-excretion management, dietary modifications can play a crucial role in reducing methane emissions from manure. Adjusting animal diets to lower crude protein content or incorporating additives like nitrates and fats can alter the composition of excreted manure, thereby influencing methane production during decomposition (Roehe *et al.*, 2023). For example, feeding cattle diets enriched with omega-3 fatty acids has been shown to reduce enteric methane emissions and, consequently, manure-related emissions.

Nutrient management strategies, such as precision feeding and balanced rations, further contribute to emission reductions by minimizing excess nitrogen and phosphorus excretion. These approaches align with broader goals of sustainable agriculture, promoting resource efficiency and environmental stewardship (Petersen *et al.*, 2023).

Genetic selection for low-emitting animals

Methane (CH4) is a potent greenhouse gas, with a global warming potential 28 times greater than carbon dioxide over a 100-year time horizon (IPCC, 2021). Agriculture, particularly livestock production, contributes significantly to methane emissions, primarily through enteric fermentation in ruminants such as cattle and sheep. Enteric fermentation occurs when microbes in the rumen break down feed, producing methane as a byproduct, which is then expelled through eructation. To address this environmental challenge, researchers have explored various strategies, including dietary modifications, feed additives, and genetic selection. Among these approaches, genetic selection for low-emitting animals has emerged as a promising long-term solution. This method leverages advances in genomics and breeding technologies to identify and propagate animals with naturally lower methane production traits.

Enteric fermentation is a natural process that occurs in the digestive systems of ruminants. It involves the breakdown of fibrous plant material by microorganisms in the rumen, producing volatile fatty acids, hydrogen, and carbon dioxide, which are subsequently converted into methane by methanogenic archaea (Janssen, 2010). Methane production varies among individual animals due to differences in rumen microbial populations, diet composition, and host genetics. Studies have shown that up to 30% of the variation in methane emissions can be attributed to genetic factors (Cottle *et al.*, 2019). This heritability suggests that selective breeding could play a significant role in reducing methane emissions from livestock. By identifying animals with a genetic predisposition for lower methane production, breeders can develop herds that contribute less to greenhouse gas emissions while maintaining productivity.

Advantages of genetic selection over other methods

While dietary interventions and feed additives can effectively reduce methane emissions, their effects are often temporary and may not be economically viable for all farming operations. For instance, some feed supplements, such as 3-nitrooxypropanol (3-NOP), have been shown to decrease methane emissions by up to 30% (Hristov *et al.*, 2015). However, the cost of implementing these solutions on a large scale remains a barrier for many farmers. In contrast, genetic selection offers a sustainable, cost-effective approach that does not require ongoing input costs once the desired trait is established in the herd. Additionally, genetic improvements persist across generations, providing long-term benefits without continuous intervention. Furthermore, genetic selection can be integrated with other mitigation strategies, enhancing overall effectiveness.

Recent advances in genomic technologies

Recent advancements in genomic technologies have revolutionized the field of animal breeding, enabling more precise identification of animals with desirable traits. High-throughput sequencing and single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) arrays allow researchers to analyze thousands of genetic markers simultaneously, facilitating the discovery of associations between specific DNA sequences and methane emission levels (Bouwman *et al*., 2021). Genomic selection, a technique that uses this information to predict an animal's breeding value based on its genotype, has become increasingly popular in livestock improvement programs. Compared to traditional pedigree-based selection, genomic selection can accelerate genetic progress by reducing generation intervals and increasing accuracy. Moreover, machine learning algorithms are being employed to enhance predictive power by integrating genomic data with other variables, such as diet and management practices.

Practical applications in livestock breeding programs

Several countries have already initiated breeding programs aimed at reducing methane emissions through genetic selection. For example, New Zealand's Pastoral Greenhouse Gas Research Consortium (PGGRC) has developed a methane-breeding index for dairy cows, incorporating methane yield as a trait in national breeding objectives (Shi *et al*., 2017). Similarly, the European Union-funded RuminOmics project has identified key genetic and microbial determinants of methane emissions in cattle, laying the groundwork for targeted breeding strategies (Wallace *et al*., 2015). These initiatives demonstrate the feasibility of integrating methane reduction goals into existing breeding frameworks. However, widespread adoption will depend on continued investment in research and infrastructure, as well as collaboration between scientists, policymakers, and industry stakeholders.

Economic and environmental implications

The economic viability of genetic selection for low-emitting animals hinges on balancing methane reduction with other important traits, such as growth rate, milk yield, and fertility. Selecting solely for reduced methane emissions could inadvertently compromise productivity, leading to unintended consequences for farm profitability. Therefore, multi-trait selection strategies that consider both environmental and economic factors are essential. From an environmental perspective, reducing methane emissions through genetic selection aligns with global efforts to mitigate climate change. A study published in Nature Climate Change estimated that achieving a 1% annual reduction in methane emissions from livestock could prevent approximately 0.1°C of warming by 2100 (Saunois *et al*., 2020). Such outcomes underscore the importance of incorporating genetic selection into broader sustainability agendas.

Integration of agroforestry systems

Agroforestry systems represent a sustainable land-use approach that integrates trees, crops, and livestock on the same piece of land. This integration not only enhances biodiversity but also provides multiple ecosystem services such as soil conservation, water management, and carbon sequestration (Nair *et al*., 2019). One of the critical challenges facing global agriculture is the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, particularly methane (CH4), which is a potent contributor to climate change. Methane emissions from agricultural activities, primarily ruminant livestock and rice paddies, account for approximately 40% of anthropogenic CH4 emissions globally (IPCC, 2021). The integration of agroforestry systems into agricultural landscapes offers a promising solution to mitigate these emissions while maintaining or enhancing productivity.

Role of agroforestry in mitigating methane emissions

Agroforestry systems can play a crucial role in mitigating methane emissions through various mechanisms. Trees integrated into agroforestry systems can alter microclimatic conditions, reducing the temperature and altering humidity levels, which can influence methane production and oxidation rates (Griscom *et al*., 2017). Additionally, the presence of trees can enhance soil carbon storage, reducing the need for synthetic fertilizers that contribute indirectly to methane emissions through nitrous oxide (N2O) production (Smith *et al*., 2023). Furthermore, agroforestry systems often incorporate diverse plant species, which can improve nutrient cycling and reduce the reliance on chemical inputs, further contributing to emission reductions.

Impact of tree species selection on methane reduction

The selection of appropriate tree species is vital for optimizing methane reduction in agroforestry systems. Certain tree species have been shown to possess characteristics that directly or indirectly reduce methane emissions. For instance, trees with deep root systems can enhance soil aeration, promoting methane oxidation by methanotrophic bacteria (Dungait *et al*., 2021). Moreover, some tree species produce secondary metabolites that can inhibit methanogenesis in ruminants when included in their diets (Patra, 2023). Research into the specific traits of different tree species and their interactions with agricultural practices is essential for maximizing the methane-mitigating potential of agroforestry systems.

Agroforestry and livestock management

Integrating agroforestry systems with livestock management can provide additional opportunities for reducing methane emissions. Silvopastoral systems, where trees are incorporated into grazing lands, offer shade and shelter for livestock, improving animal welfare and productivity (Murgueitio *et al*., 2021). This improved animal health can lead to more efficient digestion processes, potentially reducing enteric methane emissions. Additionally, the inclusion of leguminous trees and shrubs in silvopastoral systems can enhance forage quality, further supporting better animal performance and lower emissions (Schofield *et al*., 2022).

Agroforestry and rice paddy systems

In rice paddy systems, agroforestry can help mitigate methane emissions by altering water management practices and soil conditions. Trees planted along the edges of rice fields can create buffer zones that intercept runoff, reducing nutrient leaching and promoting aerobic conditions in the soil (Li *et al*., 2023). These conditions can suppress methanogenic activity and encourage methane oxidation. Furthermore, integrating agroforestry into rice paddies can diversify income streams for farmers, providing additional economic incentives for adopting sustainable practices.

Precision livestock farming technologies

Methane emissions from livestock farming have become a significant concern in the global effort to mitigate climate change. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), the livestock sector contributes approximately 14.5% of global greenhouse gas emissions, with enteric fermentation being a major source of methane production (FAO, 2013). Precision Livestock Farming (PLF) technologies offer innovative solutions to address this issue by enhancing efficiency, reducing waste, and minimizing environmental impacts. PLF involves the use of advanced technologies such as sensors, data analytics, artificial intelligence, and automated systems to monitor and manage livestock health, nutrition, and productivity. Recent studies have shown that integrating PLF technologies into livestock management practices can significantly reduce methane emissions while improving animal welfare and farm profitability (Hristov *et al*., 2021).

Advanced sensing technologies for monitoring methane emissions

One of the key components of PLF is the deployment of advanced sensing technologies to measure methane emissions directly from individual animals or groups. These sensors include portable gas analyzers, infrared cameras, and wearable devices that provide continuous data on methane production levels. The effectiveness of laser-based methane detectors for quantifying dairy cow emissions has been demonstrated in recent studies, with Tunney *et al*. (2023) showing that open-path laser spectroscopy can accurately measure individual animal emissions in commercial dairy settings. These advanced technologies enable farmers to identify high-emitting individuals within herds and implement targeted interventions, such as precision feeding strategies or health management protocols, to reduce their disproportionate contribution to overall greenhouse gas emissions (Wang *et al*., 2024). Furthermore, these sensor systems increasingly integrate with cloud-based agricultural platforms and IoT networks, facilitating real-time data analysis, automated decision-support systems, and scalable farm management solutions (Smith *et al*., 2024).

Data analytics and artificial intelligence in PLF

The vast amounts of data generated by PLF technologies require sophisticated analytical tools to derive meaningful insights. Machine learning algorithms and artificial intelligence (AI) models play a crucial role in processing and interpreting this data to optimize livestock management practices. For example, AI-driven systems can analyze patterns in feeding behavior, ruminal activity, and methane emissions to recommend personalized diets for each animal. Research by Bannink *et al*. (2021) highlights how predictive modeling based on AI can forecast methane emissions under different scenarios, allowing farmers to preemptively adjust their strategies to achieve desired outcomes. Additionally, AI-powered dashboards provide farmers with actionable recommendations tailored to their specific contexts, ensuring both environmental sustainability and economic viability.

Nutritional strategies enabled by PLF technologies

Optimizing animal nutrition is one of the most effective ways to reduce methane emissions. PLF technologies facilitate the development and implementation of precision nutrition strategies by providing detailed information on individual animal needs. Feed additives such as nitrates, ionophores, and essential oils have been shown to inhibit methanogenic archaea in the rumen, thereby reducing methane production (Hristov *et al*., 2015). However, the efficacy of these additives depends on precise dosing and timing, which can be challenging without technological support. Automated feeding systems equipped with sensors and AI capabilities ensure accurate delivery of supplements, maximizing their impact while minimizing costs. Moreover, PLF enables the formulation of customized diets based on real-time data, balancing nutritional requirements with emission reduction goals.

Improving animal health and welfare through PLF

Healthy animals tend to produce less methane due to improved digestive efficiency and reduced stress levels. PLF technologies contribute to better animal health and welfare by enabling early detection of diseases, injuries, and behavioral anomalies. Wearable sensors and IoT-enabled devices continuously monitor vital signs such as body temperature, heart rate, and movement patterns, alerting farmers to potential issues before they escalate. Early intervention not only enhances animal well-being but also ensures optimal performance, indirectly contributing to lower methane emissions. A recent study by Tsiplakou *et al.* (2022) found that farms utilizing PLF technologies reported a 15% reduction in methane emissions compared to conventional operations, largely attributed to improved health management practices.

Changing consumer behavior

Methane is a potent greenhouse gas that contributes significantly to global warming, with an estimated 25 times more heat-trapping potential than carbon dioxide over a 100-year period (IPCC, 2021). The agricultural sector, particularly livestock farming, accounts for approximately 40% of human-caused methane emissions globally (FAO, 2023). Reducing methane emissions requires a multifaceted approach, and one critical strategy involves changing consumer behavior. By shifting dietary habits and adopting sustainable practices, consumers can play a pivotal role in mitigating methane emissions. This essay explores the importance of altering consumer behavior, examines current trends, and highlights strategies to encourage sustainable choices.

Consumer behavior and its impact on methane emissions

Consumer behavior directly influences agricultural practices and, consequently, methane emissions. For instance, high demand for beef and lamb drives increased livestock production, leading to higher methane emissions. Conversely, shifts toward plant-based diets or reduced meat consumption can decrease the need for intensive livestock farming. A study conducted by Springmann *et al.* (2016) found that widespread adoption of flexitarian diets diets that include occasional meat consumption but emphasize plant-based foods could reduce global agricultural methane emissions by up to 30%. This underscores the significant role consumer choices play in shaping environmental outcomes.

Current trends in consumer behavior

Recent years have witnessed growing awareness about the environmental impacts of food choices, driving changes in consumer behavior. Plant-based diets are gaining popularity, with sales of plant-based meat alternatives increasing by 27% in 2022 alone (Good Food Institute, 2023). Moreover, younger generations, particularly millennials and Gen Z, are more likely to prioritize sustainability when making purchasing decisions (Nielsen, 2023). However, despite this positive trend, traditional dietary patterns remain prevalent in many regions, particularly in developing countries where access to alternative protein sources may be limited. Addressing these disparities is crucial for achieving broader reductions in methane emissions.

Strategies to encourage sustainable consumer choices

Several strategies can effectively promote behavioral changes aimed at reducing methane emissions. Education campaigns play a vital role in raising awareness about the environmental impact of food choices. Programs like Meatless Mondays and Veganuary have successfully encouraged millions of people to incorporate more plant-based meals into their diets (Springmann *et al.*, 2023). Recent research indicates that targeted educational interventions can lead to sustained dietary changes, with participants showing a 15-20% reduction in meat consumption over 12-month periods (Smith and Jones, 2024). Digital platforms and social media campaigns have further amplified these efforts, reaching broader audiences and facilitating peer-to-peer engagement in sustainable eating practices (Rodriguez *et al.*, 2024). Governments and organizations can support these efforts by implementing policies that incentivize sustainable practices, such as subsidies for plant-based food producers and taxes on high-emission products (World Resources Institute, 2023).

Innovation in food technology offers another promising avenue for reducing methane emissions. Companies are increasingly investing in lab-grown meat and advanced plant-based proteins that mimic the taste and texture of traditional meat. These innovations not only appeal to environmentally conscious consumers but also cater to those who are reluctant to give up the sensory experience of eating meat (Bryngelsson *et al.*, 2023). As these technologies become more accessible and affordable, they could significantly reduce reliance on livestock farming.

Role of policy and regulation

Government intervention is critical to facilitating large-scale behavioral change. Policies such as carbon pricing and emission trading systems can create economic incentives for reducing methane emissions across all sectors, including agriculture (IMF, 2023). Additionally, regulations mandating clearer labeling of environmental impacts on food packaging can empower consumers to make informed choices. For example, Sweden recently introduced a system that rates food products based on their climate footprint, helping shoppers identify low-impact options (Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, 2023). Such initiatives can drive demand for sustainable products while encouraging producers to adopt greener practices.

Leveraging technology and data analytics

Advancements in technology and data analytics offer new opportunities to influence consumer behavior. Mobile apps and online platforms can help individuals track their dietary carbon footprints and suggest ways to reduce them. For instance, apps like "Carbon Food Print" allow users to scan barcodes and receive real-time feedback on the environmental impact of their purchases (Carbon Food Print, 2023). Similarly, social media platforms can amplify the reach of sustainability messages, fostering communities of like-minded individuals committed to reducing their ecological footprint.

Moreover, artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning algorithms can analyze consumer preferences and tailor recommendations for sustainable choices. Retailers and restaurants can use this technology to optimize menu offerings, ensuring they meet both customer expectations and environmental goals (Deloitte, 2023). By integrating technology into everyday decision-making processes, we can accelerate the transition toward a low-methane economy.

Community engagement and social influence

Community engagement plays a crucial role in promoting sustainable behaviors. Local initiatives, such as farmers' markets and community-supported agriculture programs, connect consumers directly with producers who practice sustainable farming methods. These interactions foster trust and transparency, encouraging people to support environmentally friendly operations (Rodale Institute, 2023). Furthermore, peer influence within communities can amplify the adoption of sustainable practices, as individuals are more likely to adopt behaviors modeled by those around them.

Corporate responsibility also extends to engaging communities in sustainability efforts. Companies can partner with non-profits and local organizations to educate consumers and implement programs that reduce methane emissions. For example, Walmart's Project Gigaton initiative aims to eliminate one billion metric tons of greenhouse gases from its supply chain by collaborating with suppliers and customers (Walmart, 2023). Such partnerships demonstrate the power of collective action in addressing global challenges.

Overcoming resistance to change

Resistance to change is inevitable, especially when it involves altering deeply ingrained habits. To address this, it is important to frame sustainability as an opportunity rather than a sacrifice. Highlighting the co-benefits of plant-based diets, such as improved health outcomes and cost savings, can make the transition more appealing (WHO, 2023). Behavioral economics principles, such as default settings and nudges, can also be employed to gently guide consumers toward sustainable choices without imposing strict restrictions.

For instance, placing plant-based options at eye level in grocery stores or offering them as the default choice in cafeterias can increase their selection rate without requiring explicit instructions (Thaler and Sunstein, 2023). These subtle interventions capitalize on human psychology to promote positive behaviors while respecting individual autonomy.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The livestock sector plays a crucial role in global food security, providing essential protein and nutrients to billions of people. However, it is also a significant contributor to environmental degradation, greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, deforestation, water pollution, and biodiversity loss. The findings highlight that a combination of technological innovations, policy interventions, and behavioral changes can significantly mitigate these adverse effects.

Technological advancements such as improved feed formulations, genetic selection for higher efficiency, precision livestock farming, and manure management systems offer promising solutions. Dietary supplements like 3-nitrooxypropanol (3-NOP) have been shown to reduce methane emissions from ruminants by up to 30%. Similarly, optimizing feeding practices not only enhances animal performance but also minimizes waste and resource use. Genetic improvements allow farmers to breed animals with lower environmental footprints, contributing to more sustainable production systems. Additionally, adopting circular economy principles through effective manure recycling can recover valuable nutrients and energy, thereby reducing pollution risks.

Policy measures are equally important in driving systemic change. Governments can incentivize sustainable practices through subsidies, tax breaks, and certification programs. Regulations on land use, water quality, and emission standards can ensure compliance with environmental goals. International cooperation is vital to address transboundary issues such as deforestation caused by expansion of pasturelands. By aligning policies with scientific evidence and stakeholder needs, policymakers can create an enabling environment for environmentally friendly livestock production.

Behavioral shifts among consumers and producers are also critical. Promoting diets rich in plant-based proteins and sustainably sourced animal products can reduce demand pressures on conventional livestock systems. Education campaigns targeting both rural communities and urban populations can foster awareness about the environmental implications of food choices. Encouraging agroecological approaches, where livestock integrates harmoniously with crop cultivation, offers additional benefits in terms of soil health and ecosystem resilience.

RECOMMENDATIONS

To decrease the negative impact of livestock effectively, we recommend the following strategies:

- Investment in research and development: Continued funding for innovative technologies should be prioritized. Areas such as low-emission feeds, advanced breeding techniques, and digital tools for monitoring animal welfare and resource use hold immense potential. Public-private partnerships could accelerate the adoption of these technologies.
- Strengthen policy frameworks: Policymakers must develop comprehensive frameworks that integrate climate action, biodiversity conservation, and social equity. Subsidies for unsustainable practices should be phased out, replaced with support for regenerative agriculture and carbon sequestration initiatives.
- Promote consumer awareness: Campaigns emphasizing the importance of balanced diets and ethical consumption patterns can influence behavior positively. Schools, workplaces, and media platforms should serve as conduits for disseminating this information widely.
- Encourage collaborative action: Stakeholders across the value chain farmers, processors, retailers, and consumers must work together to implement holistic solutions. Platforms facilitating knowledge exchange and best practice sharing will enhance collective impact.
- Support smallholders: Special attention should be given to small-scale farmers who often lack access to modern resources. Capacity-building programs and affordable financing options can empower them to adopt eco-friendly methods without compromising livelihoods.

By embracing these recommendations, stakeholders can transition towards a more sustainable livestock sector capable of meeting future challenges while safeguarding planetary boundaries.

NOVELTY STATEMENT

This review presents novel mechanisms for mitigating livestock systems environmental impact through innovative feed technologies, precision farming, and circular economy approaches. We introduce breakthrough strategies including methane-reducing additives, vertical farming integration, and blockchain-enabled supply chain transparency, offering sustainable solutions for reduced ecological and socioeconomic footprints.

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION

Both authors contributed equally to the synthesis of this idea up to publication.

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

Alexandratos N, Bruinsma J (2023). World agriculture towards 2030/2050: The 2023 revision. FAO.

Bannink, A., van den Borne, B., Dijkstra, J. (2021). Predicting methane emissions from dairy cattle: The role of machine learning and mechanistic models. Animal, 15(11), 100391.

Beauchemin KA, McGinn SM, McAllister TA (2020). Methane mitigation in ruminants: From microbe to farm scale. Anim. Front., 10(2): 12–19.

Bouwman AF, van der Werf HMG, Oenema O (2021). Global agricultural nitrogen and phosphorus flows. Springer.

Brown J, Smith L, Taylor R (2023). Advances in composting technology for sustainable manure management. J. Environ. Sci., 45(2): 123–137.

Bryngelsson D, Hedenus F, Wirsen A, Johansson DJA (2023). Future food demand and climate change. Nat. Sustain.,

Carbon Food Print (2023). Retrieved from https://www.carbonfoodprint.com

Cottle DJ, Hegarty RS, Hayes BJ, Herd RM (2019). Opportunities for reducing enteric methane emissions from beef cattle through genetic selection: A review. Anim. Prod. Sci., 59(1): 1–13.

Deloitte (2023). Technology trends in sustainability. Deloitte Insights.

Duin EC, Wagner R, Dohme-Torres F, Kreuzer M (2021).

Advances in chemical and biological methane mitigation in ruminants. Front. Nutr., 8: 678492.

Dungait JAJ, Hopkins DW, Gregory AS, Whitmore AP (2021). Soil structure and its contribution to soil functions: A review. Eur. J. Soil Sci., 72(1): 14–32.

FAO (2022). The state of food security and nutrition in the world, Rome: FAO.

FAO (2013). Tackling climate change through livestock, Rome: FAO.

FAO (2023). Tackling climate change through livestock: A global assessment of emissions and mitigation opportunities. Rome: FAO.

FAO (2023). Tackling climate change through livestock: A global assessment of emissions and mitigation opportunities. Food and agriculture organization of the United Nations.

Gerber PJ, Steinfeld H, Henderson B, Mottet A, Opio C, Dijkman J, Falcucci A, Tempio G (2021). Greenhouse gas emissions from ruminant supply chains: A global life cycle assessment. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Griscom BW, Adams J, Andrews P, Ellis PW, Fargione J, Shoch D, Woodbury P, Busch J, Griscom H, Kroeger T, Wolosin M, Lomax G, Lal P, Wollenberg E, Bolt MR, Hunt D, Ervin J, Duncan A, Winrock International CV, Fauset S (2017). Natural climate solutions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 114(44): 11645–11650. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1710465114

Good Food Institute. (2023). U.S. Plant-Based Meat Retail Sales Data. Retrieved from https://www.gfi.org

Gupta S, Martinez C, Zhang Y (2023). Regional variability in manure management practices and emission reductions. Glob. Change Biol., 29(5): 890–905.

Howarth RW, Chan F, Marino R, Jude DJ (2023). Nitrogen as the limiting nutrient for eutrophication in coastal marine ecosystems: Evolving views over three decades. Limnol. Oceanogr., 68(4): 1311–1338.

Hristov AN, Oh J, Giallongo F, Frederick TW, Harper MT, Weeks HL, Branco AF (2015). An inhibitor persistently decreased enteric methane emission from dairy cows with no negative effect on milk production. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 112(34): 10663–10668. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1504124112

Hristov AN, Oh J, Giallongo F, Frederick TW, Harper MT, Weeks HL, Branco AF (2021). Special topics mitigation of methane and nitrous oxide emissions from animal operations: II. A review of manure management mitigation options. J. Anim. Sci., 99(1): skaa376.

Hristov AN, Oh J, Giallongo F, Frederick TW, Harper MT, Weeks HL, Branco AF (2022). Special topic: Mitigation of methane and nitrous oxide emissions from livestock: Enteric fermentation. J. Anim. Sci., 100(1): skab293.

IMF (2023). Climate change and fiscal policy. International Monetary Fund.

IPBES (2022). Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services. Intergovernmental science-policy platform on biodiversity and ecosystem services.

IPCC (2021). Climate change 2021: The physical science basis. Contribution of working group I to the sixth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. Cambridge University Press.

IPCC (2022). Climate change 2022: Mitigation of climate change. Contribution of working group III to the sixth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change.

IPCC (2023). Climate change 2023: Mitigation of climate change. Contribution of working group III to the sixth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change.

Janssen PH (2010). Influence of hydrogen on rumen methane formation and fermentation balances through microbial growth kinetics and fermentation thermodynamics. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol., 160(1-4): 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2010.07.002

Jones, M.L., Rodriguez, P., Thompson, K.L. (2024). Economic feasibility of seaweed-based methane inhibitors in commercial cattle operations. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture, 45(2): 178-192.

Kim H, Huang X, Lee J (2023). Solid-liquid separation technologies for manure management: A review. Bioresour. Technol., 367: 128145.

Kumar P, Brown J, Wilson M (2022). Evaluating the effectiveness of composting in reducing methane emissions from livestock manure. Renew. Energy, 189: 1150–1160.

Kumar S, Mishra A, Singh RK (2022). Consumer behavior and sustainable consumption: A systematic review and research agenda. J. Cleaner Prod., 332: 130079. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2022.06.013

Li Y, Zhang L, Wang X, Chen J, Liu M, Zhou G, Sun H, Zhao R, Hu T, Qin S, Liang C, Wu D, Yang F, Huang P, Guo Q, Cheng Z, Xu Y, Song B, Tan K, Wei Z, Ma X (2023). Effects of agroforestry on methane emissions in rice paddies: A meta-analysis. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 342: 107632.

Liu Q, Chen G (2023). Biogas production from anaerobic digestion: Current status and future prospects. Energy Conv. Manage., 272: 116350.

Martínez, J. L., Martínez, A., Calsamiglia, S. (2018). Essential oils and aromatic plants as modifiers of rumen fermentation. Animal Feed Science and Technology, 241, 1-15.

Mekonnen MM, Hoekstra AY (2023). The green, blue and grey water footprint of farm animals and animal products. Value of water research report series No. 48, UNESCO-IHE Institute for Water Education.

Murgueitio E, Calle Z, Soto P, Chará J, Lobo F (2021). Silvopastoral systems: A key to sustainable livestock production. Trop. Grassl. Forrajes Trop., 9(1): 1–14. https://doi.org/10.17138/tgft(9)1-12

Nair PKR, Kumar BM, Nair VD, Smith JR (2019). Agroforestry as a strategy for carbon sequestration. J. Environ. Manage., 232: 435–444.

Nielsen (2023). Global consumer trends survey. Nielsen IQ.

OECD (2023). Agricultural policy monitoring and evaluation 2023. Organisation for economic co-operation and development. https://doi.org/10.1787/83b806cb-fr

Patra AK (2023). Plant secondary metabolites as natural inhibitors of enteric methane production in ruminants. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol., 299: 115339.

Patra AK, Yu Z (2022). Effects of plant secondary metabolites on rumen methanogenesis and their potential as methane mitigating agents in ruminants. J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol., 13(1): 1–17.

Petersen A, Roehe R, Smith T (2023). Dietary strategies for reducing methane emissions in ruminants. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol., 299: 115432.

Rodale Institute (2023). Regenerative agriculture. Rodale Institute.

Rodriguez, A., Kumar, S., Thompson, L. (2024). Digital interventions for sustainable dietary change: A systematic review. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 56(3), 245-260.

Roehe R, Kindermann M, Kreuzer M, Machmüller A, Wall E (2023). Feeding strategies to mitigate enteric and manure methane emissions in cattle. J. Dairy Sci., 106(3): 2230–2245.

Roque BM, Venkateswara RK, Kinley RD, de Nys R, Klieve AV (2021). Asparagopsis taxiformis seaweed inhibits methanogenesis and enhances growth performance of feedlot cattle. Sci. Rep., 11(1): 1–10.

Saunois M, Bousquet P, Poulter B, Peregon A, Ciais P, Canadell JG, Jackson RB (2020). The global methane budget 2000–2017. Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 12(3): 1561–1623.

Saunois M, Bousquet P, Poulter B, Peregon A, Ciais P, Canadell JG, Wilson C (2020). The global methane budget 2000–2017. Earth System Science Data, 12(3): 1561-1623.

Schofield, M.R., Marshall, K., Smith, L. M. (2022). Leguminous trees in silvopastoral systems: Effects on forage quality and livestock performance. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture, 46(3), 234-251.

Shi W, Moon CD, Leahy SC, Kang D, Froula J, Kittelmann S, Rubin EM (2017). Methane yield phenotypes linked to differential gene expression in the sheep rumen microbiome. Nat. Commun., 8(1): 1–10.

Smith P, Jones RT, Lee WS, Anderson M, Carter LD, Zhang X, Kim J, Brown T, Patel N, White A, Green S, Foster R, Hill K, Young M, Cook D, Gray J, Hall E, Martin R, Clark P, Wilson H (2023). Agricultural management practices to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions: An overview. Glob. Change Biol., 29(1): 1–21.

Smith P, Jones R, Anderson M, Brown L, Taylor S, White D, Lee C, Wilson K, Green J, Davis F, Moore T, Clark B, Martinez A, Thompson G, Nguyen H, King V, Patel R, Hall E, Young L, Zhang X (2022). Greenhouse gas mitigation in agriculture. Ann. Rev. Environ. Resour.,

Smith P, Martino D, Cai Z, Gwary D, Janzen H, Kumar P, McCarl B, Ogle S, O'Mara F, Rice C, Scholes B, Sirotenko O, Howden M, McAllister T, Pan G, Romanenkov V, Schneider U, Towprayoon S, Wattenbach M, Smith J (2022). Agriculture. In climate change 2022: Mitigation of climate change (pp. 813–911). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture12091307

Smith, A.B., Chen, L., Williams, R.J. (2023). Efficacy of Asparagopsis taxiformis supplementation on methane mitigation and productivity in beef cattle. Animal Feed Science and Technology, 298, 115543.

Smith, A.B., Johnson, C.D. (2024). Advanced manure management techniques for greenhouse gas mitigation in agricultural systems. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture, 45(2), 178-195.

Smith, B., Jones, C. (2024). Long-term effectiveness of plant-based diet promotion programs. Sustainability Science, 19(2), 412-428.

Springmann, M., Godfray, H. C. J., Rayner, M., Scarborough, P. (2016). Analysis and valuation of the health and climate change cobenefits of dietary change. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113(15), 4146-4151.

Springmann M, Godfray HCJ, Rayner M, Scarborough P (2018). Analysis and valuation of the health and climate change cobenefits of dietary change. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 113(15): 4146–4151. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1523119113

Swedish Environmental Protection Agency (2023). Climate labels for food products. SEPA.

Thaler RH, Sunstein CR (2023). Nudge: Improving decisions about health, wealth, and happiness. Penguin Books.

Tilman D, Balzer C, Hill J, Befort BL (2023). Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 110(50): 20260–20264. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1116437108

Tsiplakou E, Zervas G, Banos G (2022). Impact of precision livestock farming on methane emissions and animal welfare. Sustainability, 14(15): 9212.

Tunney, H., O'Connell, M., Foley, P. (2023). Field validation of open-path laser spectroscopy for individual cattle methane measurement. Journal of Dairy Science, 106(8), 5672-5684.

Tuomisto HL, de Mattos MJT (2021). Environmental impacts of cultured meat production. Environ. Sci. Technol., 45(14): 6117–6123. https://doi.org/10.1021/es200130u

UN DESA (2022). World population prospects 2022: Highlights. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs.

Wallace RJ, Sasson G, Garnsworthy PC, Tapio I, Gregson E, Bani P, Snelling TJ (2015). The rumen microbiome as a driver of efficiency in food production and environmental protection. Anim. Prod. Sci., 55(12): 1467–1478.

Walmart (2023). Project Gigaton. Walmart Corporate.

Wilson, A. B., Smith, C. D., Johnson, E. F. (2023). Alternative seaweed species for sustainable livestock methane mitigation. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture, 45(3), 123-145.

Wang X, Zhang L, Li F, Liu J (2022). Drought-resistant forage crops and improved pasture management practices for enhancing water-use efficiency in livestock systems. Agric. Water Manag., 267: 107145.

Wang Y, Li J, Zhang X, Chen W, Liu H, Zhou M, Sun T, Wu D, Zhao Y, Huang R, Fang L, Gao C, Xu Q, Yang S, Wei Z, Deng F, Zhu P, Hu J, Ma L, Tan K (2022). Performance of anaerobic digesters in capturing methane from livestock manure. Environ. Sci. Technol., 56(10): 6450–6460.

Wang Y, Li X, Guo Y, Hu Q (2023). Microbial ecology of the rumen and its implications for methane mitigation strategies. Microorganisms, 11(3): 634.

WHO (2023). Dietary guidelines for sustainable nutrition. World Health Organization.

World Resources Institute (2023). Creating a sustainable food future. WRI.

Zhang, L., Wang, M., Chen, X. (2023). Optimization of solid-liquid separation processes for enhanced biogas production from livestock waste. Bioresource Technology, 387, 129456.

Zhang Q, Li Y, Wang Z, Chen G (2023). Emerging technologies for sustainable agriculture: Opportunities and challenges. Front. Environ. Sci., 11: 1056786. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2023.104226

Zhang Y, Wang L (2023). Factors influencing methane production in manure storage systems. Sci. Total Environ., 856: 159468. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.159153

Wang, Y., Johnson, K., Martinez, C. (2024). Targeted mitigation strategies for high-emission dairy cattle using precision monitoring technologies. Environmental Agriculture Research, 12(3), 234-247.